

222186
\$



PATENT
Customer No. 22,852
Attorney Docket No.06502.0210-00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
)
Paul Hinker et al.) Group Art Unit: 2186
)
Application No.: 09/504,876) Examiner: Tran, Denise
)
Filed: February 16, 2000)
)
For: ADAPTIVE MEMORY)
ALLOCATION)

RECEIVED
MAY 12 2004
Technology Center 2100

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(c), Applicants bring to the attention of the Examiner the documents listed on the attached PTO 1449. This Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) is being filed after the events recited in Section 1.97(b) but, to the undersigned's knowledge, before the mailing date of either a Final action, Quayle action, or a Notice of Allowance and supplements the IDS filed on August 8, 2003, May 14, 2003, February 5, 2003, December 19, 2002, October 11, 2002, July 16, 2002, May 21, 2002, February 15, 2002, November 30, 2001, October 26, 2001, and September 7, 2001. Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c), this Information Disclosure Statement is accompanied by a fee of \$180.00 as specified by Section 1.17(p).

Copies of the listed documents, including any copending patent applications, are attached.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicants determine that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicants reserve the right to present to the Office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicants further reserve the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: May 6, 2004


By: _____



Kenneth M. Lesch
Reg. No. 44,868

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION

Atty. Docket No.	06502.0210-00000	Appln. No.	09/504,876
Applicant	Paul J. HINKER et al.		
Filing Date	February 16, 2000	Group:	2186



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial*	Document Number	Issue Date	Name	Class	Sub Class	Filing Date If Appropriate
	5,274,821	12/28/1993	Rouquie			
	5,535,393	7/9/1996	Reeve et al.			
	5,574,922	11/12/1996	James			
	5,640,550	6/17/1997	Coker			
	6,052,763	04/18/2000	Maruyama			
	6,088,771	7/11/2000	Steely, Jr., et al.			
	6,353,869 B1	3/5/2002	Ofer et al.			
	6,430,657 B1	08/06/2002	Mittal et al.			
	6,502,136 B1	12/31/2001	Higuchi et al.			
	6,629,214 B1	09/30/2003	Arimilli et a.			
	6,647,546 B1	11/11/3003	Hinker et al.			

RECEIVED
MAY 12 2004
 Technology Center 2100

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Class	Sub Class	Translation Yes or No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

Buckley, A., "Conversion to Fortran 90: A Case Study," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 1994, pp. 308-353.
Purtilo, J. et al., "Module Reuse by Interface Adaption", Software - Practice and Experience, 1991, pp. 539-556.

Examiner	Date Considered
*Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	
Form PTO 1449	Patent and Trademark Office - U.S. Department of Commerce